

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/820,488	04/08/2004	Marina Shereshevsky	0162-1	7402		
25901	7590 08/07/2006		EXAMINER			
ERNEST D. BUFF			WONG, LESLIE A			
	BUFF AND ASSOCIAT VILLE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			1761			
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/820,488	488 SHERESHEVSKY, MAR		Y, MARINA			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Leslie Wong		1761				
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the c	over sheet with th	ne correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRIBUTION OF THE MAILING OF THE	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will e e, cause the applica	S COMMUNICAT , however, may a reply b expire SIX (6) MONTHS for stion to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this coned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on June	<del>26, 2006</del> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Qua	/le, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7,10-15 and 18 is/are pending in th	e application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-15 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	urement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Oil	nce Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			eived in this Nationa	I Stage			
* (	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list			aived				
Š	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certific	d copies not rece	sived.				
Attachmer	at(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Interview Sumn					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Ma  Notice of Inform  Other:	ill Date nal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,488

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 26, 2006 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 10-15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara (JP 61231958), Kazutada et al (JP 55007013), Masahiro et al (JP 3112454), and Oliver (GB 2294625) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action. The amendments to the claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Hara (JP 61231958) disclose a yogurt comprising vegetable (see abstract).

Kazutada et al (JP 55007013) disclose a yogurt comprising vegetables (see abstract).

Masahiro et al (JP 3112454) disclose yogurt comprising vegetables (see abstract).

Oliver (GB 2294625) discloses a yogurt comprising vegetables such as tomatoes, carrots, corn, and potatoes and their purees (see entire document, especially pages 1 and 3).

The claims differ as to the recitation of specific cultures, percents, and a cooling step.

The disclosed yogurt cultures are notoriously well-known in the art and used for their art-recognized purpose.

In the absence of a showing to the contrary, the amounts claimed are seen to be no more than a matter of choice, dictated by preference, and well-within the skill of the art.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use conventional yogurt cultures and the claimed percents in that of Hara (JP 61231958), Kazutada et al (JP 55007013), Masahiro et al (JP 3112454), or Oliver (GB 2294625) because the use of conventional cultures and preferred amounts is well-within the skill of the art.

Once the art has recognized the addition of vegetable products to yogurt the use and manipulation of types of vegetables and percents employed is merely a matter of choice and well-within the skill of the art.

It is noted that once removed from heat, the cooling process of a vegetable is inherent. It is further noted that the immediate cooling of products to prevent overcooking is conventional. Applicant does not define the cooling step nor define a cooled temperature.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

eslie WMG

Art Unit 1761

LAW August 3, 2006